THE DAILY BEE.
PUBLISHED BY
G. F. WEISSE & CO.
G. D. WEISSE, S. HARBY,
E. DUVERGER, N. DUPOUY.
Daily paper, (one year in advance).....$12
Country paper, semi weekly.....5
Advertisements inserted at moderate rates.
No writing before they can be allowed to discontinue. Any person receiving the paper through the mail or carriers, or otherwise, will be considered as a subscriber, and obliged to pay, unless due notice is given to the office.

The New Orleans Bee.

RATES OF ADVERTISING
One Dollar for every TEN lines in each language for the first insertion, and HALF that price for each subsequent one.
Advertisements on the first page One Dollar per square each insertion.
Advertisements inserted at intervals are charged One Dollar for every TEN lines in each language, for each insertion.
The privilege of Annual Advertisements is strictly confined to the business of the firm or individual contracting for the same, and any unauthorized use of such privilege will be charged at the rate of One Dollar per square for each insertion. No subscription taken for less than one year. Payments to advertising agents will not be considered unless the agents have the written authority of the principals for such payments. Yearly advertisements will be charged extra when the number of changes exceed reasonable limits. Bills for yearly advertisements will be rendered quarterly, and must be settled when presented. Transient advertisements must be paid in advance, unless the party is well known to the office, or has a regular account. Advertisements will be discontinued at the end of the time contracted for, unless otherwise ordered. Advertisements ordered without specification as to the number of insertions will be published until forbidden, and charged accordingly. Advertisements sent in without directions as to the number of insertions desired, will be published until forbidden, and charged accordingly. Advertisements must be handed in before 12 o'clock, M., to insure insertion the same day. Advertisements sent in after that hour will be inserted the following day, unless otherwise ordered. Advertisements from parties unknown to the office must be accompanied by the cash, or they will not be inserted. Transient advertisements must be paid for in advance, or they will not be inserted. Yearly advertisements will be charged quarterly in advance. Advertisements will be discontinued at the end of the time contracted for, unless otherwise ordered. Advertisements ordered without specification as to the number of insertions desired, will be published until forbidden, and charged accordingly. Advertisements must be handed in before 12 o'clock, M., to insure insertion the same day. Advertisements sent in after that hour will be inserted the following day, unless otherwise ordered.

OFFICE, 83 CHARTRES ST. NEW ORLEANS, TUESDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 21, 1858. VOL. XVII—NEW SERIES. VOL. XXXII—WHOLE No. 10,844.

THE DAILY BEE.

TUESDAY MORNING, DEC. 21.

MISREPRESENTATIONS CORRECTED.

A correspondent of the Baton Rouge *Advocate*, writing from New Orleans, endeavors to get round us upon the reception of Judge Douglas in this city. In his eagerness to disparage and belittle the cordial manifestations of welcome towards the distinguished visitor, an elaborate effort is made to prove that it was altogether spurious and contemptible. Save the mark!

We are anxious to assure our country friends that it is a *bona fide* concern, and is not to be a trial upon them. It is a misrepresentation of a few broken down politicians, to persuade their political bankruptcy by borrowed capital, and endeavor to deceive the people with a spurious issue. It is not the Democracy, but the Democracy not to be humbugged by the false coinage.

We are not a partisan of Judge Douglas; there are numerous public men whom we prefer as public officials, capacity and political integrity, our independent course towards him in past years, therefore, in repudiation of the loose and groundless charges so freely advanced by the writer for the *Advocate*. That correspondent endeavors to make it 1-4 lengthy epistle to demonstrate that the reception of Judge Douglas was the result of a miserable coalition between a few disappointed office seekers of the Democracy and the leading Know Nothings of the city. Because the Common Council agreed to extend to Douglas the hospitalities of New Orleans, it is argued that the combination was in full force—as if, forsooth, the city authorities are not in the habit of receiving and welcoming all distinguished strangers, whether politicians or not, as a matter of courtesy. Because the Common Council agreed to extend to Douglas the hospitalities of New Orleans, it is argued that the combination was in full force—as if, forsooth, the city authorities are not in the habit of receiving and welcoming all distinguished strangers, whether politicians or not, as a matter of courtesy. Had that body evinced a churlish disinclination towards Senator Douglas, it would have been justly amenable for the charge of partisan meanness and illiberality. It did nothing more than its duty, and did it with propriety and grace.

The assertion is ventured that "seven out of every ten voters to the call were well known Know Nothings. This is equally bold and groundless as every man acquainted with the political principles of those whose names were advised to the call will be satisfied to the contrary. In the first place, there were three Whigs to one Democrat. In the next place the call included all who were sincere in the course pursued by Judge Douglas in his famous campaign. No doubt among the subscribers to the paper there were some members of the American party. We presume it did not feel prohibited to those who, although they were identified with the party, did not feel themselves gratified at the gross insults with which Senator Douglas dashed to most of the principles dear to the American heart. Many of the signers of the call were members of the old Democratic party, but as a body they were not. The signatures of Democratic State Rights men, a good many of whom are numbered in the testimonial, are to be found in the *Advocate* checks at great length, and we challenge the writer of the article to a comparison with the subscribers to the call. Whether the list was intended by the writer as a rebuke to Mr. Douglas, or whether the list was offered in the name of the Democracy, would be difficult to determine. The name of some paltry old politician, or three or four paltry politicians, would not be considered as representing the Democracy of New Orleans under any circumstances. All who swear by Mr. Douglas, and whose bread depends upon the success of the Executive, would be glad to endeavor to make the movement look like a political deadset. It is unnecessary to mention as fact to the people of this city that the names of the men who give it countenance are, and who are considered as the most unanimous supporters of the Administration of Douglas in the Senate. The people of New Orleans know that neither Soulé, Slidell, Benjamin, Mason, Hunter, Bright, Toombs, Slidell, Benjamin, Mason, Hunter, Bright, Toombs, Slidell, Benjamin, Mason, Hunter, Bright, Toombs