DAILY BEE, PUBLISHED BY
E. P. WESTON & CO.
Editors and Proprietors,
WESTON, S. HARRY, M.
DEVERGES, N. DUPU—
PAPER, (one year in advance,) $12 00
TY PAPER, admi months,) 7 00
(in advance,) 5 00
ers, subscription must give one week's notice
ing, before they can be allowed to discon-

The New Orleans Bee.

RATES OF SUBSCRIPTION.
One Dollar for every TEN LINES or less of space for the first insertion, and SEVENTY-FIVE CENTS for each subsequent one. No advertisement inserted for less than one dollar in each language.
☞ Advertisements inserted at intervals will be charged one dollar for every TEN LINES for each language for each insertion.
☞ The privilege of Annual Advertisements is limited to advertisements strictly within the line of business in which they are published, and to the space they occupy.

No. 1362 Vol. XI—NEW SERIES.
Vol. XVII—Whole No. 733.

NEW ORLEANS, THURSDAY MORNING, MARCH 23, 1854.

THE DAILY BEE.

Thursday Morning March 23, 1854.

INDEPENDENT REFORM TICKET.

For Mayor—J. W. BREEDLOVE.
For Comptroller—O. DEBUYS.
For Treasurer—WM. H. GARLAND.
For Assessor—L. H. PILIE.
For Police Commissioner—A. S. PHELPS.

Recorders:
1st District—H. M. SUMMERS.
2d District—FRANCIS MOONEY.
3d District—WILLIAM FILES.
4th District—H. D. KEENE.

Aldermen:
1st District—CHAS. PRIDE.
N. E. BAILEY.
JAS. PRAGUE.
2d District—W. HERMANN.
GEO. CLARK.
3d District—F. H. GOODWIN.
4th District—JESSE GILMORE.

Assistant Aldermen:
1st Ward—J. L. LEVY.
COL. CAMPBELL.
F. W. DELESDERNIER.
2d Ward—WM. BLOOMFIELD, Sr.
A. W. COOPER.
CHAS. G. BARKLEY.
HENRY LATHROP.
3d Ward—T. K. HUNNEWELL.
L. H. PLACE.
W. E. SEYMOUR.
4th Ward—A. CONANT.
Geo. K. L. TRACY.
GERARD STITH.
J. HOGWELL.
5th Ward—RUFUS DOLBEAR.
J. L. FABRE.
LOUIS GAGNET.
FREDK. BUISSON.
6th Ward—J. A. BONNEVAL.
HAR. W. WHITALL.
JOS. BRUNEAU.
7th Ward—JNO. McCLEAN.
JNO. C. WELZ.
8th Ward—WM. REID.
ISAAC SHAYER.
9th Ward—WM. H. REESE.

For STEAMBOAT DEPARTURES SEE INSIDE.

Mail.—We received yesterday papers of Philadelphia and Baltimore of the 16th inst., Charleston of the 20th, and nothing from New York.

REFORM—THE ELECTION.

Several of the Reform ticket are elected by majorities varying with the number of votes polled. Of those who are the reform candidates for the offices for which they stood in nomination. We are told that we profess to advocate the cause of Reform, we should have selected new names in every instance. This argument has not strength to stand alone. The friends of reform propose it as a test of the sincerity of the professions of their duty, or who have sacrificed their time to accomplish it. We were told that