The New Orleans Bee.

OFFICIAL PAPER OF THE STATE. NEW ORLEANS, TUESDAY MORNING, AUGUST 10, 1852.

THE DAILY BEE

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE STATE,

Tuesday Morning, August 10, 1852.

FOR PRESIDENT
WINFIELD SCOTT,
of the City

FOR VICE PRESIDENT
WM. A. GRAHAM,
of North Carolina.

Electors for President and Vice Presidents.

First District.—JACQUES TOUTANT, of St. Bernard; (substitute, J.B. WILKINSON, Jr., of Plaquemines.)

Second District.—CHARLES ROSELIUS, of Orleans; (substitute, OTTAVIE DUFOUR, of Orleans.)

Third District.—DONALD F. KENNER, of Ascension; (substitute, FRANCIS GARDERE, of Jefferson.)

Fourth District.—Gen. W. WATTERSON, of Livingston; (substitute, E. J. BARROW, of West Feliciana.)

Fifth District.—ALEXANDER DE CLOUET, of St. Martin; (substitute, J. K. ELLIS, of Rapides.)

Sixth District.—JOHN RAY, of Ouachita; (substitute, D. F KENNEDY, of Caddo.

THE COMPROMISER.
WHIG PLATFORM.

☞ The series of acts of the 31st Congress, commonly known as the COMPROMISE or adjustment, (the act for the reclaiming of fugitives from labor included,) which together, are embraced in by the Whigs of the United States, as a final settlement, in principle and substance, of the subjects to which they relate, and as for these acts, we will maintain them, and insist on their entire execution, until time and experience shall demonstrate the necessity of further legislation to guard the rights and the union of the three millions of slaveholding people of the South, and the balance of the twenty millions of the non-slaveholding, and we deprecate all further agitation of the questions thus settled, as dangerous to the peace and perpetuity of the Union; and will discountenance all efforts to renew or prosecute such agitation, whenever, wherever, or however made—and we will resist all such efforts as disturbing to the harmony of the Whig party, and the integrity of the Union.

THE CONTRAST.

Gen. Scott.	Gen. Pierce.
Sir, I am dead for the Constitution—dead for the Union—dead for the Compromises—dead for the Laws—and I scoff at dead agitators and dead traitors, as opposed to them, or either of them!	*I have been asked if I regretted the Mexican war. I frankly answer, No, I loathed it. I have always loathed it. I regarded it as unjust and unnecessary, and the uprising of the people to condemn it, as good in humanity, and necessary to unfold truth.*

Speech of General Scott before the Mississippi Delegation. [N.Y. Herald, 23d September, 1852.

☞ The Northern Mail came through yesterday with the latest dates.

A CONTRAST.

We note that in the proscription of the Presidential campaign, which the Democratic papers seem with furious zeal to make upon Gen. SCOTT, they, as a general rule, keep very basis of FRANKLIN PIERCE. In the contest, it is true, they strove to manufacture a small amount of capital from his military career; but the effort was so ridiculously impotent, and lead to such shrewd and laughable failures, that the Locofoco press has well nigh abandoned it for admiration of Warrior PIERCE. Hence the epithets as a soldier present no subject for party sympathy, his partisans might resort to his achievements as a civilian; but here also the record is either ingloriously silent, or blurred with the evidence of the most narrow policy, and the most bigotted party faith. We may research the chronicle of Mr. PIERCE's public and private life, and pore over the Congressional and Cabinet till the eye grows weary with the labor, without discovering a solitary proof of statesmanship, enlarged and liberal views, or of the exhibition of any of those exalted qualities of the mind or heart, which elevate great men above their fellows.

It is therefore not a subject of marvel that the Democratic press should maintain a discreet silence touching the military and civil career of Mr. PIERCE, and should seek to command him to public favor rather by reviling his opponent. The Democrats are not wanting in shrewdness. They are quite capable of understanding that Gen. PIERCE will gain few friends by the exposition of his public life. They hardly appreciate the necessity of applying as little as possible of his votes in Congress. They have no desire to inform the people that while their candidate was a member of Congress, his most signal deed was the uniform, consistent and motiveless manner in which he cried out "NO!" on the question of the passage of every bill for the improvement of the Harbors and Rivers of the country; or that the only speech in which he evinced a particle of eloquence, was his warm appeal to the Senate of the United States, to REJECT the bill granting to the impoverished and woe stricken Widow of President HARRISON, the remainder of the year's salary of her deceased husband. These conspicuous and exemplars from the congressional career of the Democratic aspirant, would hardly benefit him in the estimation of the American people, or secure to him an accession of Whig votes; and as nothing more prominent or praise-worthy can be gleaned from the Cabinet, the reader is justly conclude that the most effectual way of defending him is to let him alone. This would be a highly successful plan, if the manner read some but Democratic papers; but unfortunately for Locofocism, they entertain a laudable anxiety to know all that can be gathered respecting those who seek their suffrages, and as the result to point, with fatal clearness, to the inevitable conclusion