(G. F. WEISSE, Proprietor,)
NO. 83 CHARTRES STREET.

Terms of the Bee.

DAILY PAPER, (one year in advance) $12 00
COUNTRY PAPER, semi-weekly, (one year in advance) 5 00
In all cases the subscriber must give one week's notice, (in writing,) before he can be allowed to discontinue.

The New Orleans Bee.

OFFICIAL PAPER OF THE STATE. ..... NEW ORLEANS, THURSDAY MORNING, APRIL 1, 1852.

No. 1753, Vol. 10.—NEW SERIES.
Vol. XXI.—Whole No. 6903.

RATES OF ADVERTISING.

One Dollar for every TEN lines, in such language as the first insertion, and MALF that price for each subsequent one. No Advertisement inserted for less than one dollar in each language.

☞ Advertisements inserted at intervals will be charged one dollar for every TEN lines for each language for each insertion.

☞ The privilege of Annual Advertisers is limited to advertisements strictly with the line of their business, and to extend to one square every other day.

THE DAILY BEE.

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE STATE, GENERAL COUNCIL, AND FIRST MUNICIPALITY COUNCIL.

Thursday Morning, April 1, 1852.

FOR PRESIDENT
MILLARD FILLMORE.
FOR VICE PRESIDENT
JOHN J. CRITTENDEN
Subject to the nomination of the Whig National Convention.

☞ The Northern Mail came through yesterday with the latest dates

We always refuse to write an article on politics upon the improved prospects of General Scott, or for the Presidential nomination, before we hear what we had stated frequently before, that we would sustain him, or any other good Whig who was sound on the Compromise. Thereupon the *Delta*, in its usual graceful, self-laudatory style, which it peculiarly styles "spirit of the Press," spoke very flippantly, but sensibly and soundly of our having persisted in a test of ground and silly tumbling, originated by the managers of the Redoubt-a-Vous Democratic Ball. We call upon the *Delta* for proof of its frank assertion. After the usual decent separation among the flies of *Le Bee*, it brings forward an article a month old, which we hazarded the opinion that Gen. Scott's chances for the Presidency were declining rather than improving. And this, to us, in a garbled and misquoted paragraph! another article to which we shall presently refer, and that it can find to sustain its charge of the unbecoming and inflexible Editor of this paper has been guilty of the most astounding tergiversation!

The *Delta* has indoctrinated us in a new principle of political ethics. It seems that to think that a candidate stands a poor chance, and that another has prospects of success, is becoming better, is not merely the most inconsistency, but is absolutely "ground for insulting." A month ago, Indiana and Delaware had not pronounced in favor of General Scott; Maryland and Virginia had given no sign; and New York had exhibited few symptoms of inclinations in his behalf. At the present time, Indiana and Delaware have appointed Scott Delegates to the National Convention, New York and Pennsylvania are doing the same, a Congressional district meeting in Maryland has declared in favor of the truth—has declared openly for Scott. We submit to the intelligent *Delta* that chance makes changes—that a man is reasonably enough torn asunder, and that the rights before him, which opinion may also have cause to alter, as the sphere of his action becomes enlarged by the addition of new and better testimony. It thus be "ground and lofty tumbling," let the *Delta* make the most of it.

We do not profess infallibility—we leave that to the Papal Rome, and to our omniscient contemporary of the *Delta*. That sage knows not a political soul more than most people, and it discovered what we have not yet manifested a preference for, at the time and, if shall it its best to keep it a secret. But we have not yet manifested a preference for any one. We have stated that we would sustain him, or any other good Whig who was sound on the Compromise. Thereupon the *Delta*, in its usual graceful, self-laudatory style, which it peculiarly styles "spirit of the Press," spoke very flippantly, but sensibly and soundly of our having persisted in a test of ground and silly tumbling, originated by the managers of the Redoubt-a-Vous Democratic Ball. We call upon the *Delta* for proof of its frank assertion. After the usual decent separation among the flies of *Le Bee*, it brings forward an article a month old, which we hazarded the opinion that Gen. Scott's chances for the Presidency were declining rather than improving. And this, to us, in a garbled and misquoted paragraph! another article to which we shall presently refer, and that it can find to sustain its charge of the unbecoming and inflexible Editor of this paper has been guilty of the most astounding tergiversation!

The *Delta* has indoctrinated us in a new principle of political ethics. It seems that to think that a candidate stands a poor chance, and that another has prospects of success, is becoming better, is not merely the most inconsistency, but is absolutely "ground for insulting." A month ago, Indiana and Delaware had not pronounced in favor of General Scott; Maryland and Virginia had given no sign; and New York had exhibited few symptoms of inclinations in his behalf. At the present time, Indiana and Delaware have appointed Scott Delegates to the National Convention, New York and Pennsylvania are doing the same, a Congressional district meeting in Maryland has declared in favor of the truth—has declared openly for Scott. We submit to the intelligent *Delta* that chance makes changes—that a man is reasonably enough torn asunder, and that the rights before him, which opinion may also have cause to alter, as the sphere of his action becomes enlarged by the addition of new and better testimony. If that