

Official State Paper, Published Daily, except on Sundays and Public Holidays. Price, Five Cents Per Copy. In Advance, One Dollar Per Annum.

WILLIAM H. HARRISON, OF OHIO, FOR VICE PRESIDENT, JOHN TYLER, OF VIRGINIA, FOR GOVERNOR, HENRY JOHNSON, FOR CONGRESS—FIRST DISTRICT.

LOUISIANA ELECTORAL TICKET, DISTRICT—WILLIAM BRYANS, New Orleans, M. de J. ROSSIGNOL, East Baton Rouge, J. de J. DUPRE, St. Landry, J. de J. DUPRE, St. Landry.

TRADE AND COMMERCE, NEW ORLEANS, TUESDAY MORNING, APRIL 21, 1858. The Mail filled yesterday brought Charleston City Affairs.

We have been requested by a number of friends of Mr. GROSS to publish the signed document, which appeared in the Courier on Saturday last. It is nothing more than a right to sign the paper in question.

The objection taken by the Mayor against Mr. GROSS's character is a charge of arbitrary action, and it is somewhat singular that the point of duty should have been raised at this late day, when it is well known that Mr. GROSS is a member of the second municipal council.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

THE OBJECTION TAKEN BY THE MAYOR AGAINST MR. GROSS'S CHARACTER IS A CHARGE OF ARBITRARY ACTION, AND IT IS SOMEWWHAT SINGULAR THAT THE POINT OF DUTY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THIS LATE DAY, WHEN IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT MR. GROSS IS A MEMBER OF THE SECOND MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

SALES, AUCTION, AND... WILLIAM H. HARRISON, OF OHIO, FOR VICE PRESIDENT, JOHN TYLER, OF VIRGINIA, FOR GOVERNOR, HENRY JOHNSON, FOR CONGRESS—FIRST DISTRICT.

SALES, AUCTION, AND... WILLIAM H. HARRISON, OF OHIO, FOR VICE PRESIDENT, JOHN TYLER, OF VIRGINIA, FOR GOVERNOR, HENRY JOHNSON, FOR CONGRESS—FIRST DISTRICT.

SALES, AUCTION, AND... WILLIAM H. HARRISON, OF OHIO, FOR VICE PRESIDENT, JOHN TYLER, OF VIRGINIA, FOR GOVERNOR, HENRY JOHNSON, FOR CONGRESS—FIRST DISTRICT.

SALES, AUCTION, AND... WILLIAM H. HARRISON, OF OHIO, FOR VICE PRESIDENT, JOHN TYLER, OF VIRGINIA, FOR GOVERNOR, HENRY JOHNSON, FOR CONGRESS—FIRST DISTRICT.

SALES, AUCTION, AND... WILLIAM H. HARRISON, OF OHIO, FOR VICE PRESIDENT, JOHN TYLER, OF VIRGINIA, FOR GOVERNOR, HENRY JOHNSON, FOR CONGRESS—FIRST DISTRICT.

SALES, AUCTION, AND... WILLIAM H. HARRISON, OF OHIO, FOR VICE PRESIDENT, JOHN TYLER, OF VIRGINIA, FOR GOVERNOR, HENRY JOHNSON, FOR CONGRESS—FIRST DISTRICT.

SALES, AUCTION, AND... WILLIAM H. HARRISON, OF OHIO, FOR VICE PRESIDENT, JOHN TYLER, OF VIRGINIA, FOR GOVERNOR, HENRY JOHNSON, FOR CONGRESS—FIRST DISTRICT.

SALES, AUCTION, AND... WILLIAM H. HARRISON, OF OHIO, FOR VICE PRESIDENT, JOHN TYLER, OF VIRGINIA, FOR GOVERNOR, HENRY JOHNSON, FOR CONGRESS—FIRST DISTRICT.

SALES, AUCTION, AND... WILLIAM H. HARRISON, OF OHIO, FOR VICE PRESIDENT, JOHN TYLER, OF VIRGINIA, FOR GOVERNOR, HENRY JOHNSON, FOR CONGRESS—FIRST DISTRICT.

SALES, AUCTION, AND... WILLIAM H. HARRISON, OF OHIO, FOR VICE PRESIDENT, JOHN TYLER, OF VIRGINIA, FOR GOVERNOR, HENRY JOHNSON, FOR CONGRESS—FIRST DISTRICT.